

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/467,901	NEERVEN, JOOST VAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pensee T. Do	1641	

All Participants:

(1) Pensee T. Do.

(2) Mr. Maryann Pugliesi.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30 pm.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9, 15, 21-23

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Pugliesi authorized an examiner's amendment to correct claims 9, 15, 21-23 according to the examiner's amendment attached herein.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)